

1 BRYAN WILSON (CA SBN 138842)
BWilson@mofo.com
2 KIMBERLY N. VAN VOORHIS (CA SBN 197486)
KVanVoorhis@mofo.com
3 CHRISTOPHER F. JEU (CA SBN 247865)
CJeu@mofo.com
4 ZAHRA HAYAT (CA SBN 262605)
ZHayat@mofo.com
5 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
755 Page Mill Road
6 Palo Alto, California 94304-1018
Telephone: 650.813.5600
7 Facsimile: 650.494.0792

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
SONICS, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 OAKLAND DIVISION

14 SONICS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 ARTERIS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

18 Defendant.

Case No. 4:11-cv-05311 SBA-(LB)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
REGARDING SCHEDULING OF
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION REPLY
BRIEF**

Date: N.A.
Time: N.A.
Ct rm: 1 - 4th Floor
Judge: Sandra Brown Armstrong

1
2 Plaintiff Sonics, Inc. (“Sonics”) and Defendant Arteris, Inc. (“Arteris”) (collectively, the
3 “Parties”) hereby stipulate and respectfully request as follows:

4 WHEREAS, on July 18, 2012, this Court entered an Order Re Schedule for Claim
5 Construction (“Scheduling Order”).

6 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Sonics filed its Claim Construction
7 Opening Brief on September 4, 2012 (September 3, 2012 was a Court holiday).

8 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Arteris filed its Claim Construction
9 Responsive Brief on September 17, 2012.

10 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Sonics’ Claim Construction Reply Brief
11 (“Reply Brief”) is currently due on September 24, 2012.

12 WHEREAS, due to an unexpected personal emergency, Sonics will be unable to file a
13 Reply Brief on September 24, 2012.

14 WHEREAS, in view of this emergency, the Parties have stipulated to an extension of the
15 deadline to file a Reply Brief by one week, to October 1, 2012.

16 WHEREAS, the Parties believe that an extension will not affect the schedule for the
17 Claim Construction Hearing, which is set for January 9, 2012.

18 Based on the foregoing, the Parties hereby stipulate and respectfully request that the
19 deadline for Sonics’ Claim Construction Reply Brief be extended from September 24, 2012 to
20 October 1, 2012.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2 Dated: September 20, 2012

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

3
4 By: /s/ Kimberly N. Van Voorhis
KIMBERLY N. VAN VOORHIS

5
6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
SONICS, INC.

7 Dated: September 20, 2012

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

8
9 By: /s/ Chang Kim
CHANG KIM

10
11 Attorneys for Defendant
ARTERIS, INC.

12
13
14 **DECLARATION OF CONSENT**

15 I hereby attest pursuant to General Order 45.X.B. that concurrence in the electronic filing
16 of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

17
18 Dated: September 20, 2012


/s/ Kimberly N. Van Voorhis
Kimberly N. Van Voorhis

**ORDER ON STIPULATION REGARDING SCHEDULING OF CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION REPLY BRIEF**

The Parties' request is hereby granted, and the deadline for Sonics' Claim Construction Reply Brief is extended to October 1, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _9/21/12



Honorable Saundra Brown Armstrong
Judge, United States District Court